## **ORIGINAL**



## SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP

THE WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET, NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5116 TELEPHONE (202) 424-7500 FACSIMILE (202) 424-7647 WWW.SWIDLAW.COM

NEW YORK OFFICE THE CHRYSLER BUILDING 405 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10174 TEL.(212) 973-0111 FAX (212) 891-9598

RECEIVED

October 9, 2002

OCT - 9 2002

## VIA COURIER

Remove districts

Districts 10: 34 1771

RMRISH RUSS VERLAWICOM

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Fortals

44: 13th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

HARRY OF THE REPORTER

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Meeting in CC Docket No. 99-68, CC Docket No. 96-98, CC Docket No. 01-339, and WC 02-306

Dear Ms Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.120(b)(2) of the Commission Rules, this letter is to provide notice in the above-captioned proceedings of an *ex parte* meeting. On October 8, 2002, John Sumpter (Vice President-Regulatory of Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.) and the undersigned met with Pamela Arlak. Renee R. Crittendon, Brad Koerner, John Stanley, Jack Yackbes (all of whom are with the WCB/CPD), Joanne Wall (OGC), Rhonda Lien (CCB/PPD), Aaron Goldschmidt (WCB/PPD), Connec Hellmer (EB/IHD), John Hays (WCB/IATD), Susan German (EB/TCD), and Sean O'More (CGB/DRO).

At the meeting, we discussed the structure of the Pac-West network and the negative impact on network development and deployment of the Commission's interim compensation structure for intercarrier compensation for ISP-bound traffic. As a result of that compensation structure, Pac-West exited markets it had entered shortly before the imposition of the compensation structure and did not expand into new markets. Given the anticompetitive impact of the interim compensation structure, Pac-West urged that the Commission remove the new market and growth cap provisions of its intercarrier compensation Order for ISP-bound traffic.

We also discussed the pending application of Pacific Bell for interLATA authority. We noted that Pac-West has experienced significant delays in having large blocks of numbers ported from Pacific Bell to Pac-West and the negative effect of such delays on Pac-West's customer relations. We noted that Pacific Bell's pricing for resale DSL services created a classic price

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary October 9, 2002 Page 2

squeeze resulting in Pacific Bell's continued monopoly in that market. We also noted that the Commission must carefully consider the factual findings concerning Pacific Bell's anti-competitive conduct made by the California Public Utility Commission in the Commission's determination as to whether approval of the Application is in the public interest under Section 271(d)(3)(C).

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(i) of the Commission's Rules, an original and one copy for each docket of this letter are being submitted to the Secretary for filing in the above-referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,

To fine time

Richard M. Rindler

## RMR/kas

cc: Pamela Arluk (WCB/CPD)

Renee R. Crittendon (WCB/CPD)

Susan German (EB/TCD)

Aaron Goldschmidt (WCB/PPD)

John Hays (WCB/IATD)

Connie Hellmer (EB/IHD)

Brad Koerner (WCB/CPD)

Rhonda Lien (CCB/PPD)

Sean O'More (CGB/DRO)

John Stanley (WCB/CPD)

Joanne Wall (OGC)

Jack Yackbes (WCB/CPD)

Twilson@fcc.gov

Prw@cpuc.ca.gov

Brianne.kucerik@usdoj.gov